WASHINGTON STATE BOUNDARY REVIEW BOARD
FOR YAKIMA COUNTY

NOTICE OF INTENTION

for office use only:
BRB FILE # 2017-08

1. Name of City, Town or special purpose district: City of Grandview

Action Sought: ❑ Annexation
❑ Formation of a Special Purpose District
❑ Incorporation
❑ Other Boundary Change
❑ Merger/Consolidation of Special Purpose District
❑ Dissolution of Special Purpose District
❑ Water or Sewer Extension _______ Size of Water Line _______ Sewer Line

2. This proposal shall be known as: Port of Grandview & Higgins Family Annexation

3. Driving directions to location of proposed action: I-82 East; take the Stover Road exit, Exit 73, towards Grandview; go straight toward Stover Road west; turn right onto Stover Road; travel 0.83 miles; destination is on your right at the intersection of Stover Road and Puterbaugh Road, Grandview, WA.

4. Briefly describe proposal: Annexation and rezone of property to be developed in the future and to receive City services to include water/sewer/garbage utilities and police/fire protection.

6. Method used to initiate the proposed action: ❑ Petition ❑ Election ❑ Resolution

7. State statute under which action is sought: RCW 35A.14.120, et. seq.

FACTORS THE BOARD MUST CONSIDER

POPULATION AND LAND USE:

1. Provide the following information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POPULATION OF PROPOSED AREA</th>
<th>POPULATION OF EXISTING ENTITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EXISTING</td>
<td>10-YEAR PROJECTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residences</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Businesses</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. What source is the basis for this projection information? U.S. Census Bureau, City utility records and business licenses

3. Acres within the proposed area: 32.06 acres  Acres within existing entity: Approximately 3,200

4. Assessed valuation of proposed area: $240,500.00  of existing entity: $436,107,256.00

5. Existing land use of the proposed area: Agriculture
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6. Existing land use of the area surrounding the proposal:
   North – Light Industrial/Vacant across I-82
   South – Light Industrial/Single Family Residential
   East – Commercial/Vehicle Sales Facility
   West – Agriculture/Agriculture

7. Are all surrounding & interior roads included in the annexation?  X Yes  □ No
   If no, why not? The cul-de-sac portion of Puterbaugh Road is outside the City’s urban growth boundary so the City
   was unable to include it in the annexation. The City spoke with Kent McHenry, Transportation Engineering Manager
   with Yakima County Public Services and it was decided that the City would ask for an early jurisdictional transfer of
   the right of way to the City.

8. Is there new residential, commercial, or industrial development that is associated with this proposal? Not at this time.
   If yes, describe any projects being considered or proposed: ________________________________

9. If the proposal is approved, will there be land use changes within the next 18 months?
   □ Land Use – No
   □ Zoning – No
   □ Comprehensive Plan – No

10. Has the proposed area been the subject of land use action by Yakima County? Unknown
    If so, please explain: ________________________________

11. a. Yakima County Comprehensive Plan designation for the proposed area: Industrial
    b. For surrounding areas: Industrial and Commercial
    c. Yakima County Zoning for the proposed area: Yakima County Industrial (I)
    d. For surrounding areas: Industrial and Commercial

12. Is this proposal consistent with the coordinated water system plan, if any? Yes  □ No

13. Does your jurisdiction have an adopted comprehensive plan? Yes  Date Adopted: March 23, 2016

14. Describe how this proposal is consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan: The subject property is within the City’s
designed Urban Growth Area and has therefore been pre-planned as eventually being annexed into the City of
   Grandview.
   a. Proposed city zoning upon annexation: The future zoning designation was also pre-planned as “Industrial”
at such time annexation occurred.

15. Has any portion of this area been previously reviewed by the Boundary Review Board? No
    Explain: ________________________________

16. Describe the following as required by RCW 36.93.170 and the effects on land use, accessibility and potential development:
    a. Topography: The properties are well drained with good soil conditions and are not encumbered by floodplains,
       wetlands, steep slopes or habitat areas.
    b. Natural Boundaries: None
    c. Drainage Basins: None

17. Is the proposed area within the Urban Growth Area for your municipality? Yes
1. What services will be provided in the proposed area?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EXISTING PROVIDER</th>
<th>PROPOSED PROVIDER</th>
<th>TIME FRAME for SERVICES</th>
<th>HOW FINANCED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Private well</td>
<td>City of Grandview</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Developer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer</td>
<td>Private sewer</td>
<td>City of Grandview</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Developer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire</td>
<td>Yakima County Fire District 5</td>
<td>City of Grandview</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Property Taxes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater</td>
<td>Yakima County &amp; Sunnyside Valley Irrigation District</td>
<td>City of Grandview</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Developer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads</td>
<td>Yakima County</td>
<td>City of Grandview</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Developer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Yakima County</td>
<td>City of Grandview</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Property Taxes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police</td>
<td>Yakima County Sheriff; Washington State Patrol</td>
<td>City of Grandview</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Property Taxes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>Grandview School District No. 200</td>
<td>Grandview School District No. 200</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Property Taxes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>Yakima Valley Regional Library &amp; City of Grandview</td>
<td>City of Grandview</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Property Taxes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Does your jurisdiction have a current Capital Facilities Plan? Yes Does it consider the proposed area? Yes

3. Describe the effect your jurisdiction’s ordinances, governmental codes, regulations and resolutions will have on existing uses in the proposed area: The close proximity of the governmental body will allow for enhanced legislative input by the property owners and immediate response of police and fire protection. Water, sewer and irrigation services, as well as solid waste collection will be provided by and charges paid to the City.

4. Describe the probable future needs for services and additional regulatory controls in the area? Utility services are available to the area, therefore, any future needs would be met as required urban services consistent with the Growth Management Act - RCW 36.70a.030(16).

5. Describe the probable effects of the proposal on the cost an adequacy of services and regulatory controls:
Minimal effect if any. A Water & Sewer Comprehensive Plan has been updated to address future needs. Adequate sized water and sewer lines are in place in this area for development. Costs and controls unique to the development, if any, would be determined at the time of development.

a. In the proposed area? Encourage development within the proposed annexation.

b. In the adjacent area? Encourage development within the Urban Growth Area.

6. Estimate the following to be incurred under the proposal:
   a. Proponent Expenditures to be incurred: $0-
   b. Proponent Revenues to be gained: $101.85
   c. County Revenue Lost: $211.39
   d. County Expenditure Reduction: $211.39
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e. Fire District Revenue Lost: $81.24
f. Fire District Expenditure Reduction: $81.24
g. Financial Impact to Special Districts: Yakima Regional Library $28.75

7. What is the future impact of your proposal on the school district? Industrial development will not have an impact on the Grandview School District.

ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION

1. Is there an existing environmental review pertinent or related to this proposal? X Yes □ No

The City of Grandview issued a Preliminary Determination of Nonsignificance under the State Environmental Policy Act Rules (Chapter 197-11 WAC) for the proposed annexation on August 11, 2017 with a comment period ending on August 28, 2017. A Final Determination of Nonsignificance was issued on August 29, 2017 which determined that the proposed annexation with Light Industrial (M-1) zoning will not have a probable significant adverse environmental impact. This determination was based upon the SEPA Checklist dated July 6, 2017. It was also based upon a Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment conducted on the property in May 2016 by Environmental Assessment Services, LLC which found no evidence of contamination or recognized environmental conditions on the site; a Critical Areas and Biological Assessment prepared in May 2017 by Vincent Barthels Biological which found no evidence of any environmentally sensitive areas or limits to development; and an Archaeological Review and Inventory completed on June 5, 2017, by Reiss-Landreau Research LLC which identified no historic or pre-contact sites, isolated finds, structures or features on the site.

If No, answer questions 2 through 5.

2. Expected impact of any proposed development to adjacent roads and highways:

3. Expected impact of any proposed development on air quality:

4. Does the area under consideration contain “critical areas”? (floodplain, wetland, steep slope wildlife habitat area, etc.):

5. Please describe any potential adverse impacts that could occur upon development:

OBJECTIVES OF THE BOUNDARY REVIEW BOARD

Describe fully which objectives of RCW 36.93.180 this proposal meets and which objectives this proposal does not meet. Please give your reasons for each of the objectives chosen:

1. Preservation of natural neighborhoods and communities: The property is a natural extension of the planned industrial area and is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan for this area.

2. Use of physical boundaries, including but not limited to bodies of water, highways, and land contours: The property borders Yakima Valley Highway, Burlington Northern/Santa Fe Railroad and Interstate 82 to the north and east.
3. Creation and preservation of logical service areas: The property is within the City of Grandview's designated Urban Growth Area and meets the definition of “Urban Growth” as defined under RCW 36.70.030(14) and also “Urban Growth Area” as stated in RCW 36.70a.110.

4. Prevention of abnormally irregular boundaries: The boundary line of the proposed annexation is reasonably regular.

5. Discouragement of multiple incorporations of small cities and encouragement of incorporation of cities in excess of ten thousand (10,000) population in heavily populated urban areas: NA

6. Dissolution of inactive special purpose districts: NA

7. Adjustment of impractical boundaries: NA

8. Incorporation as cities or towns or annexation to cities and towns of unincorporated areas which are urban in character: NA

9. Protection of agricultural and rural lands which are designated for long term productive agricultural and resource use by a comprehensive plan adopted by the county legislative authority: The property is currently zoned Industrial by Yakima County.

EXHIBITS

See attached Notice of Intention Filing Instructons for explanation of Exhibits A, B, C, D, E, and F. Applicable Exhibits must accompany the Notice of Intention document.

I certify that the above is true and accurate, and that I am an official or employee of the governmental jurisdiction seeking boundary change action or the proponent for the incorporation or formation.

Dated this 28th day of September, 2017

[Signature]

Anita Palacios
Name of person completing this form

(509) 882-9208
Phone Number

(509) 882-3099
Fax Number

207 West Second Street, Grandview, WA 98930
Mailing Address

Names and Addresses of other persons who should receive correspondence from the BRB in regard to this Notice:

230910-33001 Lois Higgins Family, LLC
Port of Grandview Attn: Sandi Farmer, Manager
PO Box 392 2039 Hoxie Avenue
Grandview, WA 98930 Richland, WA 99354
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EXHIBIT A

A copy of the legal description of the boundaries of the area involved in the proposed action certified by the Yakima County Public Works Division.
July 31, 2017

Anita Palacios  
City of Grandview  
207 W. 2nd Street  
Grandview, WA 98930

RE: Port of Grandview/Lois Higgins Family LLC Annexation

Dear Anita:

The legal description for the proposed Port of Grandview/Lois Higgins Family LLC annexation was prepared by a Professional Land Surveyor and is approved for annexation.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please don’t hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Kent L. McHenry, P.E.  
Transportation Engineering Manager

Yakima County ensures full compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 by prohibiting discrimination against any person on the basis of race, color, national origin, or sex in the provision of benefits and services resulting from its federally assisted programs and activities. For questions regarding Yakima County’s Title VI Program, you may contact the Title VI Coordinator at 509-574-2300.

If this letter pertains to a meeting and you need special accommodations, please call us at 509-574-2300 by 10:00 a.m. three days prior to the meeting. For TDD users, please use the State’s toll free relay service 1-800-833-6398 and ask the operator to dial 509-574-2300.
July 20, 2017

Yakima County Public Services
Attn: Transportation Engineering Manager
128 North 2nd Street, Fourth Floor
Yakima, WA 98901

RE: Legal Description Certification – Annexation Petition
Petitioners: Port of Grandview/Lois Higgins Family LLC
General Property Location: Northeast corner of the intersection of Puterbaugh and Stover Roads, Grandview, WA
Parcel No(s).: 230910-33001
Proposed Zoning: M-1 Light Industrial

The City of Grandview is in the process of submitting a Notice of Intention to Annex the above-referenced parcel to the Yakima County Boundary Review Board (BRB). The BRB requires that the legal description of the boundaries of the area involved in the proposed action be certified by Yakima County.

Enclosed herein please find a copy of the legal description along with a map of the parcel proposed to be annexed for review and certification by your department. Once complete, please return to my attention. If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at 882-9208.

Thank you in advance for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Anita G. Palacios, MMC
City Clerk

Enclosures
City of Grandview  
Port of Grandview/Lois Higgins Family, LLC Annexation  
HLA Project#17007  
July 17, 2017

Annexation Area

That part of the East half of Section 9, the West half of Section 10, the Northwest quarter of Section 15 and the Northeast quarter of Section 16, Township 9 North, Range 23 East, W.M., described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the Southerly right of way line of Stover Road and the Westerly right of way line of Puterbaugh Road;  
Thence East along said Southerly right of way line of Stover Road to the Easterly right of way line of Drainage District No. 9 Lateral 3;  
Thence Northeasterly along said Easterly right of way line to the Southwesterly right of way line of Wine Country Road;  
Thence Northwesterly along said Southwesterly right of way line to the Northerly extension of the Westerly right of way line of Puterbaugh Road;  
Thence South along said Westerly right of way line and its Northerly extension to the Point of Beginning;

Situate in Yakima County, State of Washington.
EXHIBIT B

A Yakima County Assessor’s map on which the boundary of the area involved in the proposal and the size in acres must be clearly indicated. Include a list of all parcel numbers for lots in proposed area.
PROPERTY PHOTO

PROPERTY INFORMATION
Parcel Address: STOVER RD/PUTERBAUGH RD, WA
Parcel Owner(s): PORT OF GRANDVIEW
Parcel Number: 23091033001
Property Use: 81 Agricultural Not Current Use

TAX AND ASSESSMENT INFORMATION
Tax Code Area (TCA): 441
Tax Year: 2018
Improvement Value: $0
Land Value: $384700
Current Use Value: $0
Current Use Improvement: $0
New Construction: $0
Total Assessed Value: $384700

OVERLAY INFORMATION
Zoning: M-1
Jurisdiction: County
Urban Growth Area: Grandview
Future Landuse Designation: (Yakima County Plan 2016)
FEMA: Not in floodplain (X)
FIRM Panel Number: 53077C1925D

LOCATION INFORMATION
+ Latitude: 46° 16' 31.751"
+ Longitude: -119° 56' 05.839"
Range: 23
Township: 09
Section: 10
Narrative Description: Section 10, Township 09 Range 23 Quarter SW: All that portion of the NW1/4 of the SW1/4 lying Southwesterly of the centerline of the State Highway, AND all the portion of the SouthSW1/4 lying Southwesterly of the centerline of the State Highway, EXCEPT that portion lying Easterly of the drainage Lateral No. 3 right of way

DISCLAIMER
MAP AND PARCEL DATA ARE BELIEVED TO BE ACCURATE, BUT ACCURACY IS NOT GUARANTEED; THIS IS NOT A LEGAL DOCUMENT AND SHOULD NOT BE SUBSTITUTED FOR A TITLE SEARCH, APPRAISAL, SURVEY, FLOODPLAIN OR ZONING VERIFICATION

http://www.yakimap.com/servlet/com.esri.esrimap.Esrimap?name=YakGISH&Cmd=Print... 10/5/2017
EXHIBIT C

A vicinity map or series of maps no larger than 11 x 17 and reproducible on a non-color photocopier displaying: **NOTE: Original should be in color**

1. The boundary of the area involved in the proposal and the size in acres.

2. The current corporate boundaries of the proposing entity.

3. Existing water & sewer service area boundaries of the proposing entity.

4. Major physical features such as streets and highways, railways public facilities, etc.

5. The boundaries of cities or special purpose districts having jurisdiction in or near the proposed area. **(Not Applicable)**

6. The location of the nearest service point(s) for the required utility services to the area. Show existing and proposed water/sewer lines and diameter. **(See C-3)**

7. The Yakima County zoning, Comprehensive Plan designation, Urban Growth Area Boundary, and proposed city plan and zoning designations.

8. Floodways or floodplains. **(See C-7)**
**PROPERTY PHOTO**

**PROPERTY INFORMATION**

Parcel Address: STOVER RD/PUTERBAUGH RD, WA
Parcel Owner(s): PORT OF GRANDVIEW
Parcel Number: 23091033001  Parcel Size: 32.06  Acre(s)
Property Use: 81 Agricultural Not Current Use

**TAX AND ASSESSMENT INFORMATION**

Tax Code Area (TCA): 441  Tax Year: 2018
Improvement Value: $0  Land Value: $384700
CurrentUse Value: $0  CurrentUse Improvement: $0
New Construction: $0  Total Assessed Value: $384700

**OVERLAY INFORMATION**

Zoning: M-1  Jurisdiction: County
Urban Growth Area: Grandview  Future Landuse Designation: (Yakima County Plan 2015)
FEMA: Not in floodplain (X)  FIRM Panel Number: 53077C1925D

**LOCATION INFORMATION**

+ Latitude: 46° 16' 31.751"  + Longitude: -119° 56' 05.839"
Range: 23  Township: 09  Section: 10
Narrative Description: Section 10 Township 09 Range 23 Quarter SW: All that portion of the NW1/4 of the SW1/4 lying Southwesterly of the centerline if the State Highway, AND all the portion of the SouthSW1/4 lying Southwesterly of the centerline of the State Highway, EXCEPT that portion lying Easterly of the drainage Lateral No. 3 right of way

**DISCLAIMER**

MAP AND PARCEL DATA ARE BELIEVED TO BE ACCURATE, BUT ACCURACY IS NOT GUARANTEED; THIS IS NOT A LEGAL DOCUMENT AND SHOULD NOT BE SUBSTITUTED FOR A TITLE SEARCH, APPRAISAL, SURVEY, FLOODPLAIN OR ZONING VERIFICATION

EXHIBIT C-1
Yakima County Zoning
YCC Title 19

- Forest Watershed (FW)
- Agriculture (AG)
- Remote/Extremely Limited (R/ELDP)
- Rural-10/5 (R-10/5)
- Rural Transitional (RT)
- Rural Settlement (RS)
- Highway/Tourist Commercial (HTC)
- Mining (MIN)
- Planned Development (PD)
- Suburban Residential (SR)
- Single Family Residential (R-1)
- Two Family Residential (R-2)
- Multi-Family Residential (R-3)
- Professional Business (B-1)
- Local Business (B-2)
- Small Convenience Center (SCC)
- Large Convenience Center (LCC)
- General Commercial (GC)
- Light Industrial (M-1)
- Yakama Nation Closed Area

EXHIBIT C-7
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural Resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest Resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Settlement LAMIRD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Transitional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Self-Sufficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Remote/ELDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fed/Trust Lands/Closed Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Commercial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Industrial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Industrial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Parks and Open Space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Tribal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Tribal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Growth Area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXHIBIT D

Documentation of the process:

1. certified copy of the petition;
2. proof of assessed valuation;
3. affidavit of publication of public hearing notice;
4. copy of the minutes of public hearing; and
5. a signed and certified copy of the resolution accepting the proposal as officially passed
CITY OF GRANDVIEW, WASHINGTON

PETITION CERTIFICATION

I, Anita G. Palacios, am appointed by the Mayor of the City of Grandview as the City Clerk. I am the custodian of the City's records and I am authorized to make certified copies of official records. I do hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the attached Petition for Annexation submitted by the Port of Grandview and Lois Higgins Family LLC for Parcel No. 230910-33001 is a certified copy of an official record maintained by the City of Grandview and is an exact, complete, and unaltered photocopy of such official record.

Dated: October 5, 2017

Anita G. Palacios, MMC
City Clerk

EXHIBIT D-1
LETTER OF INTENTION (60% PETITION METHOD) TO COMMENCE ANNEXATION PROCEEDINGS TO THE CITY OF GRANDVIEW, WASHINGTON

TO: The City Council
City of
Grandview
Grandview, Washington

We, the undersigned, owners of property representing not less than 10% of the assessed value of the property for which annexation is sought, described herein below, lying contiguous to the City of Grandview, Washington do hereby notify the City Council of the City of Grandview of our intention to seek annexation to and be made a part of the City of Grandview under the provisions of R.C.W. 35A.14.120, et seq., and any amendments thereto, of the State of Washington.

The territory proposed to be annexed is within Yakima County, Washington, and is legally described as follows: (Attach map outlining the boundaries of the property sought to be annexed).

ATTACHED

We are requesting City Council consideration of our request and are asking that the above property as M-1 Light Industrial zone.

OWNER’S SIGNATURE: [Signature] c. James Sewell, President DATE: 1/29/2017

PRINTED NAME: The Port of Grandview
MAILING ADDRESS: PO Box 392, Grandview, WA 98930

TELEPHONE NO.: 509-882-9975

CREDITOR’S SIGNATURE: [Signature] Lois Higgins Family LLC, Sandi Farmer, Manager DATE: 5-9-2017

PRINTED NAME: Lois Higgins Family LLC, Sandi Farmer, Manager
MAILING ADDRESS: 2039 Hoxie Ave, Richland WA 99354

TELEPHONE NO.: 509-830-3818

PARCEL NO.: 230910-33001

PROPERTY LEGAL DESCRIPTION:
That tract of land commonly known as Mains (West) and described as follows: All that portion of the Northwest ¼ of the Southwest ¼ lying Southwesterly of the centerline of State Highway; All that portion of the South ½ of the Southwest ¼ lying Southwesterly of the centerline of the State Highway; EXCEPT that portion lying Easterly of the drainage lateral No. 3 right of way; EXCEPT for road right-of-way.
TO: The City Council  
City of Grandview  
Grandview, Washington  

We, the undersigned, being owners of not less than 60% of the assessed value of the property for which annexation is petitioned lying contiguous to the City of Grandview, Washington do hereby petition that such territory be annexed to and made a part of the City of Grandview under the provisions of RCW 35A.14.120 and any amendments thereto, of the State of Washington.  

The territory proposed to be annexed is within Yakima County, Washington, and is legally described as follows: (Attach map outlining the boundaries of the property sought to be annexed).  

ATTACHED  

WHEREFORE, the undersigned respectively petition the Honorable City Council and ask:  

A. That appropriate action be taken to entertain this petition, fixing a date for public hearing, causing notice to be published and posted, specifying the time and place of such hearing and inviting all persons interested to appear and voice approval or disapproval of such annexation; and  

B. That following such hearing, and subsequent to approval of the Yakima County Boundary Review Board if such is convened, the City Council determine by Ordinance that such annexation shall be effective; and that property to be annexed shall become part of the City of Grandview, Washington, subject to its laws and ordinances then and after in force.  

The Petitioners subscribing hereto agree"... that all property within the territory hereby sought to be annexed shall be assessed and taxed at the same rate and on the same basis as property within the City of Grandview for any now outstanding
indebtedness of said City, including assessments or taxes in payment of any bonds issued or debts contracted, prior to or existing at the date of annexation, and that simultaneous adoption of proposed zoning regulations be required" in accordance with the requirements of the City Council of said City, and as quoted herein from the minute entry of the records of said City Council meeting. It is further understood that the zoning of said area proposed for annexation as shown in the Comprehensive Plan as adopted by Resolution No. 95-33 is **M-1 Light Industrial**

This petition is accompanied and has attached hereto as Exhibit "A" a diagram which outlines the boundaries of the property sought to be annexed.

**PRAYER OF PETITION:**

1. Annexation of area described herein and on Exhibit "A";
2. Assumption of indebtedness of the City of Grandview; and
3. Zoning of **M-1 Light Industrial**, consistent with the City of Grandview Comprehensive Plan

**WARNING:** Every person who signs this petition with any other than his/her true name, or who knowingly signs a petition when he/she is otherwise not qualified to sign, or who makes any false statements, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

**OWNER'S SIGNATURE:** [Signature] C. James Sewell, President **DATE:** 3/24/2017

**PRINTED NAME:** The Port of Grandview

**MAILING ADDRESS:** PO Box 392, Grandview, WA 98930

**TELEPHONE NO.:** 509-882-9975

**CREDITOR'S SIGNATURE:** [Signature] Lois Higgins Family LLC, Sandi Farmer, Manager **DATE:** 5-9-2017

**PRINTED NAME:** Lois Higgins Family LLC, Sandi Farmer, Manager

**MAILING ADDRESS:** 2039 Hoxie Ave, Richland WA 99354

**TELEPHONE NO.:** 509-830-3818

**PARCEL NO.:** 230910-33001

**PROPERTY LEGAL DESCRIPTION:**

That tract of land commonly known as Mains (West) and described as follows:
All that portion of the Northwest ¼ of the Southwest ¼ lying Southwesterly of the centerline of State Highway;
All that portion of the South ½ of the Southwest ¼ lying Southwesterly of the centerline of the State Highway;
EXCEPT that portion lying Easterly of the drainage lateral No. 3 right of way;
EXCEPT for road right-of-way.
Determination of Sufficiency of Annexation Petition;
City of Grandview – Higgins Annexation
(RCW 35A.01.050(4))

To: Anita Palacios, City Clerk
    City of Grandview

On July 17th 2017 a petition for annexation was received by this office for
determinations of the sufficiency of the petition per RCW 35.13.130 and 35.21.005 from
the City of Grandview.

The determination of the sufficiency was begun by this office on the terminal date
of July 17th 2017, and has now been completed based on the records of this office, the
above-mentioned Certificate of Transmittal, and the agreements and legal authorities
cited therein.

The owners of and real properties comprising not less than 100% of the assessed
value of real property in the areas proposed for annexation are signers of an annexation
petition, and the above numbered petition is determined and declared sufficient.

Done this 17th day of July 2017

[Signature]

Dave Cook, Yakima County Assessor
**PROPERTY INFORMATION**

| Parcel Address: STOVER RD/PUTERBAUGH RD, WA |
| Parcel Owner(s): PORT OF GRANDVIEW |
| Parcel Number: 23091033001 | Parcel Size: 32.06 Acre(s) |
| Property Use: 81 Agricultural Not Current Use |

**TAX AND ASSESSMENT INFORMATION**

- Tax Code Area (TCA): 441
- Tax Year: 2018
- Improvement Value: $0
- Land Value: $384700
- CurrentUse Value: $0
- CurrentUse Improvement: $0
- New Construction: $0
- Total Assessed Value: $384700

**OVERLAY INFORMATION**

- Zoning: M-1
- Jurisdiction: County
- Urban Growth Area: Grandview
- Future Landuse Designation: (Yakima County Plan 2015)
- FEMA: Not in floodplain (X)
- FIRM Panel Number: 63077C1925D

**LOCATION INFORMATION**

- Latitude: 46° 16' 31.751"
- Longitude: -119° 56' 05.839"
- Range: 23 Township: 09 Section: 10

**Narrative Description:**
Section 10 Township 09 Range 23 Quarter SW: All that portion of the NW1/4 of the SW1/4 lying Southwesterly of the centerline if the State Highway, AND all the portion of the SouthSW1/4 lying Southwesterly of the centerline of the State Highway, EXCEPT that portion lying Easterly of the drainage Lateral No. 3 right of way.

**DISCLAIMER**

MAP AND PARCEL DATA ARE BELIEVED TO BE ACCURATE, BUT ACCURACY IS NOT GUARANTEED; THIS IS NOT A LEGAL DOCUMENT AND SHOULD NOT BE SUBSTITUTED FOR A TITLE SEARCH, APPRAISAL, SURVEY, FLOODPLAIN OR ZONING VERIFICATION.
CITY OF GRANDVIEW
NOTICE OF
DEVELOPMENT
APPLICATION
PRELIMINARY
DETERMINATION
OF
NON-SIGNIFICANCE &
NOTICE OF PUBLIC
HEARING

The general public is hereby notified of the following development application pursuant to GCM 14.07 and WAC 19.81-113.55:

Applicant: Pacific Grandview and Lois Hopkins Family LLC
Proposed Project: Annexation & Rezone
Application Date: July 13, 2017
Annexation Acceptance: August 6, 2017
Decision Making Authority: City of Grandview
Location of Project: Parcel No. 239104.00101 consisting of 32.06 acres located on Street Road and Pultehead Road, Grandview, WA 98930

Description: Applicant requests annexation and rezoning of real property into the City limits of Grandview with an M-3 Light Industrial District. The request also includes a petition for a Special Use Permit allowing industrial activities. The project is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and Sound Development Ordinance. The project includes the following:

- New industrial buildings
- New infrastructure
- New utility lines
- New streets and sidewalks

Existing Environmental Documents: An Environmental Checklist has been prepared and is available from the City upon request. A public hearing will be scheduled prior to the date of the letter of completeness.

Preliminary Determination of Non-Significance:
The City of Grandview is the lead agency for the application, and in order to issue a determination of Non-Significance, the City is utilizing the procedures set forth in WAC 19.81-13.55. The Planning and Zoning Commission is the decision-making body. Public input is only required in the event of an environmental impact of the proposal. The proposal may include a petition for a Special Use Permit allowing industrial activities. Application documents are available for review in the application packet. A public hearing, if required, will be scheduled. The City will review all timely comments prior to making a final determination. Any person has the right to comment on the application and receive notice of and participate in any hearings on the application, if any, and is scheduled for or held by the City. A copy of the threshold determination will be issued with respect to this application. A copy of the threshold determination may be obtained upon request.

Public Hearing:
The City of Grandview Hearing Examiner will hold a public hearing pursuant to GCM 14.07 on WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, 2017 at 5:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers at City Hall, 207 West Second Street, Grandview, Washington. The public hearing will consider the application of the project described above. It is requested that the public be present to provide input and to ask questions. The hearing will be open to the public and will be recorded.

Affidavit of Publication:
STATE OF WASHINGTON
County of Yakima

Roger Harnack, being first duly sworn on oath deposes and says that he is the Publisher of the DAILY SUN NEWS, a daily newspaper.

That said newspaper is a legal newspaper and it is now and has been for more than six months prior to the date of publication hereinafter referred to, published in the English language continually as a daily newspaper in the city of Sunnyside, YAKIMA County, Washington, and it is now and during all of said time printed in an office maintained at the aforesaid place of publication of said newspaper, and that the said DAILY Sun News was on the 4th Day of April, 1969 approved as a legal newspaper by the Superior Court of said Yakima County.

That the annexed is a true copy of a LEGAL PUBLICATION Notice of City of Grandview Development Notice

published in regular issues (and not in supplemental forms) of said newspaper once each week for a period of 1 consecutive issue(s) commencing 08/14/17 and ending on 08/14/17, both dates inclusive, and that such newspaper was regularly distributed to its subscribers during all of said period. That the full amount of the fee charged for the foregoing publication is the sum of $72.77, amount has been paid in full, at the rate of $4.10 per column inch per insertion.

Subscribed and sworn to before me 08/14/17

Debbie Guerrero

Notary Public in and for the State of Washington

060706-00000

EXHIBIT D-3
Affidavit of Publication

STATE OF WASHINGTON

County of Yakima

Roger Harnack, being first duly sworn on oath deposes and says that he is the Publisher of the DAILY SUN NEWS, a daily newspaper.

That said newspaper is a legal newspaper and it is now and has been for more than six months prior to the date of publications hereinafter referred to, published in the English language continually as a daily newspaper in the City of Sunnyside, YAKIMA County, Washington, and it is now and during all of said time printed in an office maintained at the aforesaid place of publication of said newspaper, and that the said Daily Sun News was on the 4th Day of April, 1969 approved as a legal newspaper by the Superior Court of said Yakima County.

That the annexed is a true copy of a LEGAL PUBLICATION
City of Grandview
PETITION FOR ANNEXATION

published in regular issues (and not in supplemental forms) of said newspaper once each week for a period of 1 consecutive issue(s) commencing 09/08/17 and ending on 09/08/17, both dates inclusive, and that such newspaper was regularly distributed to its subscribers during all of said period. That the full amount of the fee charged for the foregoing publication is the sum of $23.57, amount has been paid in full, at the rate of $4.10 Gr per column inch per insertion.

Subscribed and sworn to before me 09/08/17

Notary Public in and for the State of Washington

060706-00000
STAFF REPORT

TO: City of Grandview - Hearing Examiner

FROM: Mike Shuttleworth, Planning Manager
       Yakima Valley Conference of Governments

DATE: August 29, 2017

SUBJECT: Public hearing to receive comments on proposed Port of Grandview application to annex tax parcel 230910-33001 into the City of Grandview. The parcel is located at the Northeast corner of the intersection of Stover Road and Puterbaugh Road. This annexation request includes that portion of Puterbaugh Road that is adjacent to parcel 230910-33001, the intersection of Puterbaugh Road and Stover Road and that portion of Stover Road that is adjacent to parcel 230910-33001. This property is referred to as the Puterbaugh Business Park.

Site
The subject parcel (230910-33001) is approximately 32.06 acres in size. The parcel is currently zoned by Yakima County as Industrial (M-1) and has a Grandview Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map (FLUM) designation of Industrial. The parcel is located on the northeast corner of the intersection of Stover Road and Puterbaugh Road, adjacent to the west city limit boundary of the City of Grandview. The property and road right of ways are located within the City of Grandview's Urban Growth Area (UGA).

Proposal
The applicant, Port of Grandview, has petitioned to annex the subject property into the City of Grandview and requested that the zoning district of the property be Light Industrial (M-1). The City Council accepted the letter of intent to annex and a petition was circulated to receive signatures from property owners representing 60% of the assessed property value. The petition was forwarded on to the Office of the Yakima County Assessor for a certification of sufficiency. The City of Grandview received a letter dated July 17, 2017 from the Yakima County Assessor determining that owners of not less than 100% of the assessed property value had signed the annexation petition.

On August 8, 2017, the City Council accepted the request for annexation subject to the following conditions:
1. The annexation be accepted as proposed on the Exhibit A attachment to the petition for annexation.
2. That the City requires the simultaneous adoption of the City's zoning regulations consistent with the Urban Growth Area Future Land Use Designation: M-1 Light Industrial for the proposed annexation.
3. That the City requires the assumption of an appropriate share of all existing City indebtedness by the area to be annexed.

The City Council directed staff to present the Petition for Annexation to the Hearing Examiner to receive and examine available information, conduct a public hearing, prepare a record thereof and enter findings of facts and conclusions based upon those facts, together with a recommendation to the City Council.
Public Notice

Public notice was provided in the following manner:

- Notice posted on property: August 11, 2017
- SEPA Notice of Application, Public Hearing, and DNS distributed: August 11, 2017
- Notification of properties by mail within 300’ of subject property: August 11, 2017

State Environmental Policy Act

The City of Grandview issued a Determination of Non-Significance (DNS) on August 11, 2017. The comment period ended on August 28, 2017.

The City of Grandview has determined that the proposed parcel annexation and integration into the City of Grandview will not have a probable adverse environmental impact. This determination is based upon previous environmental reviews conducted by the Port of Grandview for the Puterbaugh Business Park Master Plan.

A Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment was conducted on the subject property in May 2016 by Environmental Assessment Services, LLC. There is no evidence of contamination or recognized environmental conditions and no de minimis conditions were observed on the site.

A Critical Areas and Biological Assessment of the 32-acre site was prepared by Vincent Barthels, Biological in May, 2017. No evidence of environmental sensitive areas or limits to development were found.

An Archaeological Review and Inventory was completed for the site by Reiss-Landreau Research LLC on June 5, 2017. No historic or pre-contact sites, isolated finds, structures or features were identified during the survey.

Current Zoning and Land Uses

The subject parcel is currently zoned by Yakima County as Industrial (M-1). Characteristics of properties adjacent to the subject properties are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Zoning</th>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>Light Industrial (M-1)</td>
<td>Vacant land/Freeway</td>
<td>City of Grandview/Yakima County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>Light Industrial (M-1)</td>
<td>Residential Single Unit</td>
<td>Yakima County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>Commercial (C-2)</td>
<td>Vehicle sales facility</td>
<td>City of Grandview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>Agricultural (Ag)</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>Yakima County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Surrounding land uses should not be affected by the annexation of the proposed M-1 zoned property. As indicated above, the property is adjacent to the city on one side. The residential property to the south is zoned for industrial uses.
Comments

The Sunnyside Valley Irrigation District (SVID) submitted comments dated August 17, 2017. SVID comments were:

1. SVID does have an open drainage facility, JD 43.9, adjacent to the parcel for development.
2. Runoff into JD 43.9 will not be allowed.
3. Use of SVID right of way will not be allowed without prior approval through the permitting process.

The Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) submitted comments dated August 28, 2017. WSDOT comments were:

- The subject property is adjacent to Interstate 82 (I-82), a fully controlled limited access facility with a posted speed limit of 70 miles per hour. WSDOT has acquired all access rights to the highway. Direct access to I-82 is prohibited.
- Traffic in this area is served by the I-82 Exit 73 interchange. Currently, this interchange functions within acceptable safety and operational standards; however, we are concerned about the cumulative impact development will have on this interchange. At some point, continued development in this area will create the need for additional capacity at the westbound and eastbound ramp terminals. We recommend the City consider assessing pro rata share contributions from developers for future improvements to the interchange. Specifically, additional storage at the interchange left-turn lanes.
- Any outdoor advertising or motorist signing considered for this project will need to comply with state criteria. Please contact Trevor McCain of the WSDOT Headquarters Traffic Office for specifics. He can be reached at (360) 705-7282.
- Any storm water or surface runoff generated by this project must be retained and treated on-site and not allowed to flow onto WSDOT rights-of-way.
- I-82 is an existing facility and if the proponent will be generating more noise-sensitive land uses, it is the developer’s responsibility to dampen or deflect any traffic noise.
- Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on this proposal. If you have any questions regarding these comments, please contact Jacob Prilucik at (509) 577-1635.

Both the comments from SVID and WSDOT should be considered when the property is developed and should not impact the annexation of the property.

Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Designation

Per GMC § 17.96.040 (Annexation Procedure), “The establishment of zoning for annexation areas shall be guided by the land use designations and policies of the Comprehensive Plan.”

The 2016 Grandview Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map (FLUM) designation of the site as Industrial, which is consistent with the proposed M-1 zoning of City of Grandview. The establishment of the M-1 zoning district on the subject parcel is consistent with the following Goals and Policies of the 2016 Grandview Comprehensive Plan:

GOAL 4: To pursue well-managed, orderly expansion of the urban area in a manner that is within the sustainable limits of the land.

Policy 4.1 The future distribution, extent, and location of generalized land uses will be established by the Future Land Use Map contained within this plan.

Policy 4.4 Discourage incompatible uses from locating adjacent to each other. Encourage protection of other land uses from the negative impacts of industrial uses through appropriate siting, setbacks, landscaping and buffering.
Policy 4.5 Provide ample opportunities for light industrial development at locations with suitable access and adequate municipal services. At these locations, encourage industrial park-like development.

The 2016 Comprehensive Plan further states:

"In the City of Grandview, the Port of Grandview owns approximately 100 acres of property that is zoned and available for light industrial development. The Port of Grandview works to broaden and strengthen Grandview’s economic base and is an important partner with the City of Grandview in economic development. The Port of Grandview’s Strategic Goals and Objectives, adopted February 2016, are hereby incorporated by reference, as amended. (2016 Grandview Comprehensive Plan, Land Use Element – Page 2-21)."

Zoning
Per GMC § 17.96.030 (Annexation Procedure, Zoning), “At the time of the official public hearing on any proposed annexation to the city, the hearing examiner shall recommend a district classification of the area to be annexed...which recommendation shall be in keeping with the overall comprehensive plan for the urban area, and the best arrangement of land uses to promote public health, safety, morale, and general welfare.”

The Grandview Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map shows the annexation areas future land use as “Industrial”

GMC 17.88.060 provides findings and recommendations for the Hearing Examiner to consider when approving the proposed zoning for the annex property.

"17.88.060 Hearing Examiner-Findings and recommendations. After completion of an open record hearing on a petition for reclassification of property, the hearing examiner shall make and enter findings from the records and conclusions thereof which support its recommendation and find whether or not:

1. The proposal is in accord with the goals and policies of the comprehensive plan.
2. The effect of the proposal on the immediate vicinity will be materially detrimental.
3. There is merit and value in the proposal for the community as a whole.
4. Conditions should be imposed in order to mitigate any significant adverse impacts from the proposal.
5. A development agreement should be entered into between the city and the petitioner, and if so, the terms and conditions of such an agreement."

Floodway and Shoreline

Floodway
There are no FEMA floodplains or other flood hazard areas within or near the subject parcels.

Shoreline
There are no designated Shoreline Environments regulated by the Yakima County Regional Shoreline Master Program within or near the subject parcels.

Critical Areas
There are no known critical areas, as defined by GMC Chapter 18.06 (Critical Areas), in or near the subject parcel.
Concurrency
Because no development is proposed at this time, this proposal is not governed by GMC Chapter 14.10 (Transportation Concurrency Management). Future development(s) may require an updated Certificate of Concurrency.

Development Standards
Because no development is proposed at this time, this proposal is not governed by development standards contained in the Grandview Municipal Code.

Infrastructure
Sewer & Water: The City of Grandview has water and sewer capacity for future development. An existing sewer main will need to be extended approximately 1,000 feet to provide sanitary sewer to the site.

Streets: This parcel will be accessed from both Puterbaugh Road and Stover Road. The portions of Puterbaugh Road and Stover Road adjacent to the property will also be annexed.

Findings & Conclusions
1. The public notice requirements of the Grandview Municipal Code have been satisfied.
2. SEPA Environmental review, as required by RCW 43.21C, has been completed, resulting in the issuance of a Determination of Non-significance.
3. The City of Grandview has sufficient water, sewer and street capacity for the proposed annexation.
4. The proposed annexation and establishment of M-1 zoning meets the applicable criteria in GMC §§ 17.88.060 and 17.96.030, and is compliant with the City of Grandview Comprehensive Plan.
5. The public use and interest will be served.

Recommendation
The Yakima Valley Conference of Governments, acting as staff for the City of Grandview, recommends approval of the proposed annexation of the property described below and establishment of the Light Industrial (M-1) zoning district.

Annexation Area:
That part of the East Half of Section 9, the West Half of Section 10, the Northwest Quarter of Section 15 and the Northeast Quarter of Section 16, Township 9 North, Range 23 East, W.M. described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the Southerly right of way line of Stover Road and the Westerly right of way line of Puterbaugh Road; Thence East along said Southerly right of way line of Stover Road to the Easterly right of way line of Drainage District No. 9, Lateral 3; Thence Northeasterly along said Easterly right of way line to the Southwesterly right of way line of Wine County Road; Thence Northwesterly along said Southwesterly right of way line to the Northerly extension of the Westerly right of way line of Puterbaugh Road; Thence South along said Westerly right of way line and its northerly extension to the Point of Beginning.
City of Grandview, Washington
Hearing Examiner’s Recommendation

September 13, 2017

In the Matter of a Petition for
Annexation of Property with
M-1 Zoning Submitted by:

Port of Grandview and
Lois Higgins Family, LLC

Relative to 32.06 Acres at Stover
Road and Puterbaugh Road

A. Introduction. The findings relative to the hearing procedure for this petition
are as follows:

(1) The Hearing Examiner conducted an open record public hearing on
September 6, 2017, regarding this petition to annex 32.06 acres into the City of
Grandview (City) with Light Industrial (M-1) zoning.

(2) A thorough staff report was prepared and was presented by Mike
Shuttleworth, Planning Manager of the Yakima Valley Conference of Govern-
ments acting as the City’s Planner. He recommended approval of the proposed
annexation with Light Industrial zoning and answered questions relative to the
Comprehensive Plan designations.

(3) The Port of Grandview’s President, C. James Sewell, testified in favor
of the requested annexation with M-1 zoning and answered questions regarding
the SEPA “Quicksites” environmental review process which is intended to address
any environmental concerns early in the process and avoid any later uncertainties.
(4) City Clerk Anita G. Palacios testified that written comments were received from Sunnyside Valley Irrigation District and the Washington State Department of Transportation and answered questions about zoning in the area.

(5) No one else testified at the hearing.

(6) This recommendation has been issued within ten working days of the open record public hearing in accordance with Subsection 2.50.130(A) of the Grandview Municipal Code.

B. **Summary of Recommendation.** The Hearing Examiner recommends that the Grandview City Council approve this proposed annexation with Light Industrial (M-1) zoning as requested by the petitioners and as recommended by the City’s Planner.

C. **Basis for Recommendation.** Based upon a view of the site without anyone else present on September 6, 2017; the information contained in the staff report, the exhibits, the testimony, the SEPA Determination of Nonsignificance and the other evidence presented at the open record public hearing on September 6, 2017; and a consideration of the Grandview Comprehensive Plan and the Grandview Zoning Ordinance; the Hearing Examiner makes the following:

**FINDINGS**

I. **Petitioners/Property Owners.** The petitioner Port of Grandview, P.O. Box 392, Grandview, WA 98930 has purchased the subject parcel of property by means of a real estate contract from the petitioner Lois Higgins Family, LLC whose Manager is Sandi Farmer, 2039 Hoxie Avenue, Richland, WA 99354.
II. Location. The parcel proposed for annexation with M-1 zoning is located at the northeast corner of the intersection of Stover Road and Puterbaugh Road. The parcel is contiguous to the City of Grandview city limits and is within the City of Grandview Urban Growth Area. It is Yakima County Assessor's Parcel No. 230910-33001. The annexation includes the portion of Stover Road and the portion of Puterbaugh Road which are adjacent to the parcel.

III. Petition. The background relative to this annexation petition may be summarized as follows:

(1) The Letter of Intention (60% Petition Method) to Commence Annexation Proceedings to the City of Grandview, Washington and the 60% Petition Method for Annexation to the City of Grandview, Washington were both signed by the Port of Grandview on March 29, 2017, and by Lois Higgins Family, LLC on May 9, 2017. The letter and the petition are both signed by the owners of 100% of the property.

(2) The petition requests annexation of this 32.06-acre parcel with assumption of existing City indebtedness and with Light Industrial (M-1) zoning which is consistent with the City’s Industrial Comprehensive Plan designation for the property.

(3) The annexation would allow the Port of Grandview to develop the Puterbaugh Business Park. The Port has prepared a Master Plan to guide the future use and development of this site, but no specific development proposals have been prepared or are under review at this time.

(4) The sufficiency of the petition signed by 100% of the owners was confirmed by a letter from Yakima County Assessor Dave Cook dated July 17, 2017. Yakima County Transportation Engineering Manager Kent L. McHenry, P.E. confirmed by letter dated July 31, 2017, that the legal description for the proposed Port of Grandview/Lois Higgins Family, LLC annexation was prepared by a Professional Land Surveyor and is approved for annexation. At the City Council’s regular meeting of August 8, 2017, the City Council voted to accept the parcel proposed for annexation with an assumption of the existing City
indebtedness and with Light Industrial (M-1) zoning. By means of Resolution No. 2017-30, the petition was referred to the hearing examiner to receive and examine available information, conduct a public hearing, prepare a record thereof, and enter findings of fact and conclusions based upon those facts, together with a recommendation to the City Council.

(5) If the City Council agrees with this recommendation, it will authorize the annexation petition to be forwarded to the Boundary Review Board. If it is approved by that Board, it will be returned for the City Council’s adoption of an annexation ordinance. Yakima County’s understanding is that the City will request an early jurisdictional transfer of the portion of Puterbaugh Road to the City that runs northwest from the subject parcel to a dead-end cul-de-sac since it cannot be part of this annexation due to the fact that it is not located within the City’s Urban Growth Area.

IV. State Environmental Policy Act. The main aspects of the environmental review process for this petition may be summarized as follows:

(1) In order to integrate and streamline the processing of permits and approvals proposed for the 32.06-acre site, the Port, in partnership with the City of Grandview, has initiated a “Quicksites” environmental review. This will allow the Port and the City, in consultation with agencies with jurisdiction and neighboring property owners, to identify early in the process potential adverse environmental impacts that may be associated with the development of the site as a business park and to avoid, minimize and/or mitigate those effects through the design and development of the business park. Upon completion of the Quicksites process, the Port intends to market the Puterbaugh Business Park as shovel ready for development in accordance with the approved Master Plan and the Grandview Municipal Code.

(2) The City of Grandview issued a Preliminary Determination of Nonsignificance on August 11, 2017, with a comment period ending on August 28, 2017. A Final Determination of Nonsignificance was issued on August 29, 2017, which determined that the proposed annexation with Light Industrial (M-1) zoning will not have a probable significant adverse environmental impact. This determination was based upon the SEPA Checklist dated July 6, 2017. It was also based upon a Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment conducted on the property in May 2016 by Environmental Assessment Services, LLC which found no evidence of
contamination or recognized environmental conditions on the site; a Critical Areas and Biological Assessment prepared in May 2017 by Vincent Barthels Biological which found no evidence of any environmentally sensitive areas or limits to development; and an Archaeological Review and Inventory completed on June 5, 2017, by Reiss-Landreau Research LLC which identified no historic or pre-contact sites, isolated finds, structures or features on the site.

(3) WAC 197-11-390 provides that the Determination of Nonsignificance (DNS) issued on August 29, 2017, is a final SEPA threshold determination to be considered along with the other criteria relative to this annexation request.

V. **Zoning and Land Uses.** The subject parcel is currently zoned Light Industrial (M-1) by Yakima County. Corn is presently being grown on the parcel. The properties near this parcel have the following characteristics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Zoning</th>
<th>Existing Use</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>Light Industrial (M-1)</td>
<td>Vacant across Freeway</td>
<td>City/County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>Light Industrial (M-1)</td>
<td>Single-Family Residence</td>
<td>County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>Commercial (C-2)</td>
<td>Vehicle Sales Facility</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>Agriculture (AG)</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. **Comprehensive Plan.** The City’s Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map designation for this parcel is Industrial (I). The proposed initial zoning within the City would be Light Industrial (M-1) which would be consistent with the City’s Comprehensive Plan Industrial designation.

VII. **Floodways or Shorelines.** There are no FEMA floodways, floodplains or other flood hazard areas within or near this parcel. Likewise, there are no designated Shoreline Environments regulated by the Yakima County Regional Shoreline Master Program within or near this parcel.

---

Port of Grandview/Lois Higgins Family, LLC
Petition for Annexation of 32.06 Acres w/
M-1 Zoning at Stover Rd./Puterbaugh Rd.
Annexation Resolution No. 2017-30
VIII. **Critical Areas.** There are no known critical areas in or near this parcel.

IX. **Concurrency.** This petition is not subject to GMC Chapter 14.10 relative to Transportation Concurrency Management because no development is proposed at this time. An updated Certificate of Concurrency may be required for future development(s).

X. **Development Standards.** This petition is likewise not subject to development standards contained in the Grandview Municipal Code because no development of the parcel is proposed at this time.

XI. **Infrastructure.** The City of Grandview has water and sewer capacity for future development. An existing sewer main will need to be extended approximately 1,000 feet to provide sanitary sewer to the site. The parcel will be accessed from both Puterbaugh Road and Stover Road. The portions of those roads which are adjacent to the site are included within the legal description of the area to be annexed.

XII. **Hearing Examiner Jurisdiction.** Annexation procedures are governed by Chapter 17.96 of the Grandview Municipal Code (GMC) and Chapter 35A.14 of the Revised Code of Washington (RCW). The hearing examiner has jurisdiction to make a recommendation regarding annexation with appropriate zoning to the City Council based on the findings that are set forth in GMC §17.96.030 and GMC §17.96.040 after a public hearing with prior notice described in RCW 35A.14.130.
XIII. Notices of Hearing. Notices of the hearing examiner’s open record public hearing of September 6, 2017, were provided as follows:

(1) The type of notice required for annexations by GMC §2.50.120(B) to be provided at least 10 days prior to the hearing is not specified by GMC Chapter 17.96 or GMC Chapter 2.50. But RCW 35A.14.130 requires the City to “cause notice of the hearing to be published in one or more issues of a newspaper of general circulation in the city” and requires that “The notice shall also be posted in three public places within the territory proposed for annexation, and shall specify the time and place of hearing and invite interested persons to appear and voice approval or disapproval of the annexation.”

(2) Notice of the public hearing of September 6, 2017, was published in the City’s official newspaper, the Daily Sun News, on August 14, 2017, at least 10 days prior to the hearing in accordance with RCW 35A.14.130 and GMC §2.50.120(B).

(3) Notice of the public hearing before the hearing examiner was posted on August 14, 2017, in three places on the property proposed for annexation in accordance with RCW 35A.14.130 and GMC §2.50.120(B).

(4) Additional notice which does not appear to be required for annexations was provided on August 11, 2017, in the same manner as is required for permit or development applications such as rezones by mailing hearing notice to property owners within 300 feet of the parcel proposed for annexation and by posting hearing notice at City Hall, the Library, the Community Center and Police Department.

(5) The type of public hearing notice provided for this annexation process therefore exceeds the requirements for the types of notice required by RCW 35A.14.130 and GMC §2.50.120(B).

XIV. Comments. The only written comments received relative to this petition were a letter of August 17, 2017, from the Sunnyside Valley Irrigation District and a letter of August 28, 2017, from the Washington State Department of Transportation which should be considered when the property is developed and should not impact the annexation of the property. The comments were as follows:
(1) **Sunnyside Valley Irrigation District (SVID):** The SVID comments were as follows:

   (i) SVID has an open drainage facility, JD 43.9, adjacent to the subject parcel.

   (ii) Runoff into JD 43.9 will not be allowed.

   (iii) Use of SVID right-of-way will not be allowed without prior approval through the permitting process.

   (iv) Questions can be directed to Diane Weber at (509) 837-6980.

(2) **Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT):** The WSDOT comments were as follows:

   (i) The subject parcel is adjacent to Interstate 82 (I-82), a fully controlled limited access facility with a posted speed limit of 70 miles per hour. WSDOT has acquired all access rights to the highway. Direct access to I-82 is prohibited.

   (ii) Traffic in this area is served by the I-82 Exit 73 interchange. Currently this interchange functions within acceptable safety and operational standards; however, WSDOT is concerned about the cumulative impact development will have on this interchange. At some point, continued development in this area will create the need for additional capacity at the westbound and eastbound ramp terminals. WSDOT recommends the City consider assessing pro rata share contributions from developers for future improvements to the interchange, specifically for additional storage at the interchange left-turn lanes.

   (iii) Any outdoor advertising or motorist signing considered for this project will need to comply with state criteria. Please contact Trevor McCain of the WSDOT Headquarters Traffic Office for specifics. He can be reached at (360) 705-7282.

   (iv) Any storm water or surface runoff generated by this project must be retained and treated on-site and not allowed to flow onto WSDOT rights-of-way.

   (v) I-82 is an existing facility and if the proponent will be generating more noise-sensitive land uses, it is the developer’s responsibility to dampen or deflect any traffic noise.
(vi) Questions can be directed to Jacob Prilucik at (509) 577-1635.

XV. Annexation Review Criteria. Annexation review criteria include the following requirements prescribed in State statutes and City ordinances:

1. 60% Petition (RCW 35A.14.120). This annexation petition satisfies the requirements of RCW 35A.14.120 because 100% of the owners of the parcel of property in the proposed annexation have submitted the petition even though only 60% rather than 100% is required.

2. Consistency with the Comprehensive Plan (GMC §17.96.030). The proposed annexation with Light Industrial (M-1) zoning is consistent with the City’s Comprehensive Plan for the following reasons:

   a. GMC §17.96.030 requires a recommendation regarding the zoning district classification for an area to be annexed to be in keeping with the overall Comprehensive Plan for the urban area. The City Planner’s recommendation at the hearing of Light Industrial (M-1) zoning for this parcel is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan’s Industrial designation for the parcel prescribed by the 2016 Grandview Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map.

   b. GMC §17.96.040 requires the establishment of zoning for annexation to be governed by the land use designations and the policies of the Comprehensive Plan. Besides being consistent with the Industrial land use designation of this parcel, the proposed Light Industrial zoning is also consistent with the following policies of the 2016 Comprehensive Plan:

      Goal 4: To pursue well-managed, orderly expansion of the urban area in a manner that is within the sustainable limits of the land.

      Policy 4.1: The future distribution, extent, and location of generalized land uses will be established by the Future Land Use Map contained within this plan.

      Policy 4.4: Discourage incompatible uses from locating adjacent to each other. Encourage protection of other land uses from the negative impacts of industrial uses through appropriate siting, setbacks, landscaping and buffering.
Policy 4.5: Provide ample opportunities for light industrial development at locations with suitable access and adequate municipal services. At these locations, encourage industrial park-like development.

(c) The 2016 Comprehensive Plan further states: “In the City of Grandview, the Port of Grandview owns approximately 100 acres of property that is zoned and available for light industrial development. The Port of Grandview works to broaden and strengthen Grandview’s economic base and is an important partner with the City of Grandview in economic development. The Port of Grandview’s Strategic Goals and Objectives, adopted February 2016, are hereby incorporated by reference, as amended. (2016 Grandview Comprehensive Plan, Land Use Element – Page 2-21).”

(3) The Best Arrangement of Land Uses (GMC §17.96.030): GMC §17.96.030 also requires a recommendation regarding the zoning district classification for an area to be annexed to be in keeping with the best arrangement of land uses to promote public health, safety, morals and general welfare. This general criterion is satisfied through a consideration of the following more specific criteria in GMC §14.09.030(A)(3)(c) and GMC §17.88.060 that would apply if this petition were considered to be a request for a rezone from County Light Industrial zoning to City Light Industrial zoning rather than a request to initially establish a City zoning classification for this parcel in connection with annexation of the property to the City. The specific criteria and findings that lead the hearing examiner to find that this petition is in keeping with the best arrangement of land uses to promote public health, safety, morals and general welfare are:

(a) The proposed annexation area is within the City’s Urban Growth Area and is contiguous to the current City limits. Approval of the proposed annexation would result in a natural and expected expansion of the City’s boundaries which will square off the City’s boundary in that area.

(b) Future development of the annexed area will have to meet the requirements and intent of the GMC as specific uses are proposed in the future. Actual development within the proposed annexation area will necessitate future applications and review of specific proposals to ensure their consistency with the requirements and intent of the GMC.
(c) Adequate drainage, streets, water supply and sanitary wastes can be provided through appropriate methods to be determined at the time of development.

(d) The proposed annexation with Light Industrial zoning has been determined to lack any probable significant adverse impacts on the environment through the SEPA Determination of Nonsignificance issued on August 29, 2017, which is considered a final threshold determination.

(e) The proposed annexation does not lower the level of service of transportation below the minimum standards as shown within the Comprehensive Plan because no development is proposed at this time and future development will be subject to the Transportation Concurrency Management requirements of GMC Chapter 14.10.

(f) The proposed annexation with Light Industrial zoning does not involve a proposed dedication of land or require any development agreement for the property.

(g) The proposed annexation with Light Industrial zoning will not be materially detrimental to the immediate vicinity and will have merit and value for the community as a whole. It will provide additional property to be used for future Light Industrial development in the Puterbaugh Business Park. Such uses will be compatible with that type of development currently existing in the vicinity of this parcel and that type of additional development that may be developed in the vicinity of this parcel in the future.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the above findings, the hearing examiner reaches the following conclusions:

(1) The hearing examiner has jurisdiction under GMC §17.96.030 and GMC §2.50.080(C)(1) to make a recommendation to the Grandview City Council regarding this petition for annexation with Light Industrial zoning.
(2) Notice of the hearing examiner’s open record public hearing was given in compliance with the requirements of RCW Chapter 35A.14 and of GMC Chapter 2.50, and additional types of notice were also provided.

(3) A Final SEPA Determination of Nonsignificance (DNS) was issued on August 29, 2017.

(4) The parcel proposed for annexation is located within the City of Grandview Urban Growth Area and is contiguous to the corporate limits of the City of Grandview.

(5) The annexation petition has met the signature threshold requirements for the 60% petition method authorized by RCW 35A.14.120.

(6) The City of Grandview has sufficient water, sewer and street capacity for the proposed annexation.

(7) The proposed annexation area should be zoned Light Industrial (M-1) upon annexation.

(8) The requested annexation with Light Industrial (M-1) zoning satisfies the applicable requirements and criteria in the Grandview Municipal Code needed to recommend its approval by the Grandview City Council.

RECOMMENDATION

The hearing examiner recommends to the Grandview City Council that this petition for annexation of parcel number 230910-33001 as described in the documentation submitted for this request be APPROVED with Light Industrial (M-1) zoning. The legal description of the annexation area including the road right of way of Stover Road and of Puterbaugh Road that is adjacent to the subject parcel is as follows:

That part of the East half of Section 9, the West half of Section 10, the Northwest quarter of Section 15 and the Northeast quarter of
Section 16, Township 9 North, Range 23 East, W.M., described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the Southerly right of way line of Stover Road and the Westerly right of way line of Puterbaugh Road; Thence East along said Southerly right of way line of Stover Road to the Easterly right of way line of Drainage District No. 9 Lateral 3; Thence Northeasterly along said Easterly right to way line to the Southwesterly right of way line of Wine Country Road; Thence Northwesterly along said Southwesterly right of way line to the Northerly extension of the Westerly right of way line of Puterbaugh Road; Thence South along said Westerly right of way line and its Northerly extension to the Point of Beginning.

Situate in Yakima County, State of Washington.

DATED this 13th day of September, 2017.

Gary M. Cuillier, Hearing Examiner
1. CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Norm Childress called the regular meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers at City Hall.

Present were: Mayor Childress and Councilmembers Gaylord Brewer, Mike Everett, Dennis McDonald, Bill Moore, Gloria Mendoza and Joan Souders.

Absent from the meeting was Councilmember Javier Rodriguez. Council excused Councilmember Rodriguez from the meeting at the previous C.O.W. meeting.

Staff present were: City Administrator/Public Works Director Cus Arteaga, City Attorney Quinn Plant, City Treasurer Matt Cordray, Fire Chief Pat Mason, Wastewater Treatment Plant Superintendent Dave Lorenz and City Clerk Anita Palacios.

6. ACTIVE AGENDA

A. Closed Record Public Hearing – Petition for Annexation & Rezone – Port of Grandview & Lois Higgins Family, LLC – Stover Road/Puterbaugh Road

Mayor Childress opened the closed record public hearing to consider a petition for annexation and rezone submitted by the Port of Grandview and Lois Higgins Family, LLC by reading the public hearing procedure.

There was no one in the audience who objected to his participation as Mayor or any of the Councilmembers' participation in these proceedings. None of the Councilmembers had an interest in this issue nor did any stand to gain or lose any financial benefit as a result of the outcome of this hearing and all indicated they could hear and consider the issue in a fair and objective manner.

The purpose of the hearing was for the Council to review the record and consider the pertinent facts relating to this issue. No new public testimony was allowed.

City Clerk Palacios provided the following review of the record:

- The City received a Letter of Intent and Petition for Annexation and Rezone signed by the Port of Grandview and Lois Higgins Family LLC requesting annexation of Parcel No. 230910-33001 consisting of 32.06 acres to the City of Grandview. The petitioners elected to request annexation under the 60% petition method of annexation. The 60% petition method required signatures by owners of not less than 60% of the assessed value of the total property proposed for annexation. The petition contained sufficient signatures. The petitioners requested the area be annexed with an M-1 Light Industrial zoning. The parcel was included in the City's Urban Growth Area and the Comprehensive Future Land Use Map designation was Industrial.

- At the August 8, 2017 City Council meeting, Council accepted the proposed annexation, required the simultaneous adoption of zoning regulations consistent with the Urban Growth Area Future Land Use Map Designations—M-1 Light Industrial, and required the
assumption of all existing City indebtedness by the properties proposed to be annexed the same as all other property within the City in accordance with past practice, and agreed to have the annexation petition heard by a Hearing Examiner.

- On September 6, 2017, a public hearing was held before the Hearing Examiner to receive comments on the proposed annexation and rezone. A copy of the Hearing Examiner’s recommendation was attached hereto and incorporated herein as part of these minutes. The Hearing Examiner recommended City Council approve the Petition for Annexation of Parcel No. 230910-33001 with M-1 Light Industrial zoning.

Council requested clarification of the record as follows:

- Councilmember Brewer asked whether there were any critical areas on the property proposed for annexation. City Clerk Palacios advised that there were none as identified in the Critical Areas and Biological Assessment prepared on behalf of the Port of Grandview.

The public hearing was declared closed.

On motion by Councilmember McDonald, second by Councilmember Moore, Council accepted the Hearing Examiner’s conclusions and recommendation that the Port of Grandview and Lois Higgins Family LLC Petition for Annexation of Parcel No. 230910-33001 be approved with M-1 Light Industrial zoning.

B. Resolution No. 2017-37 authorizing the petition to annex properties known as the Port of Grandview & Lois Higgins Family LLC, that is contiguous to the City of Grandview and providing for transmittal of said petition to the Yakima County Boundary Review Board for a 45-day review prior to taking final action.

On motion by Councilmember Moore, second by Councilmember Mendoza, Council approved Resolution No. 2017-37 authorizing the petition to annex properties known as the Port of Grandview & Lois Higgins Family LLC, that is contiguous to the City of Grandview and providing for transmittal of said petition to the Yakima County Boundary Review Board for a 45-day review prior to taking final action.
CITY OF GRANDVIEW, WASHINGTON

RESOLUTION CERTIFICATION

I, Anita G. Palacios, am appointed by the Mayor of the City of Grandview as the City Clerk. I am the custodian of the City's records and I am authorized to make certified copies of official records. I do hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the attached Resolution No. 2017-30 accepting a request from the Port of Grandview and Lois Higgins Family LLC for annexation of Parcel No. 230910-33001 consisting of approximately 32.06 acres located at the intersection of Stover Road and Puterbaugh Road, Grandview, Yakima County, Washington, is a certified copy of an official record maintained by the City of Grandview and is an exact, complete, and unaltered photocopy of such official record.

Dated: October 5, 2017

Anita G. Palacios, MMC
City Clerk

EXHIBIT D-5
RESOLUTION NO. 2017-30

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF GRANDVIEW, WASHINGTON, ACCEPTING A REQUEST FROM THE PORT OF GRANDVIEW AND LOIS HIGGINS FAMILY LLC FOR ANNEXATION OF PARCEL NO. 230910-33001 CONSISTING OF APPROXIMATELY 32.06 ACRES LOCATED AT THE INTERSECTION OF STOVER ROAD AND PUTERBAUGH ROAD, GRANDVIEW, YAKIMA COUNTY, WASHINGTON

WHEREAS, the Port of Grandview and Lois Higgins Family LLC, the owners of Parcel No. 230910-33001 consisting of approximately 32.06 acres located at the intersection of Stover Road and Puterbaugh Road, Grandview, Yakima County, Washington, submitted a Letter of Intent to the City requesting annexation of said property to the City of Grandview; and

WHEREAS, on July 25, 2017, the City Council was presented with the Letter of Intent and Petition for Annexation and reviewed the proposed annexation,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRANDVIEW, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The City of Grandview hereby accepts the request for annexation subject to the following conditions:
- That the annexation be accepted as proposed on Exhibit “A” attached hereto.
- That the City requires the simultaneous adoption of the City’s zoning regulations consistent with the Urban Growth Area Future Land Use Designation: M-1 Light Industrial for the proposed annexation.
- That the City requires the assumption of an appropriate share of all existing City indebtedness by the area to be annexed.

Section 2. Staff is hereby directed to present the Petition for Annexation to the Hearing Examiner who shall receive and examine available information, conduct a public hearing, prepare a record thereof and enter findings of fact and conclusions based upon those facts, together with a recommendation to the City Council.

PASSED by the CITY COUNCIL and APPROVED by the MAYOR at its regular meeting on August 8, 2017.

MAYOR

ATTEST:

CITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

CITY ATTORNEY
## PROPERTY INFORMATION AS OF 2/22/2017 11:01:43 PM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parcel Address</th>
<th>STOVER RUPERT BAUGH RD, WA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parcel Owner(s)</td>
<td>PORT OF GRANDVIEW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parcel Number</td>
<td>72991023601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Use</td>
<td>31 Undeveloped Land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAX AND ASSESSMENT INFORMATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Code Area (TCA)</td>
<td>1161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Year</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement Value</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Value</td>
<td>$240500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Use Value</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Use Improvement</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assessed Value</td>
<td>$240500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## RESIDENTIAL INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Year Built</th>
<th>Stories</th>
<th>Main Sq Ft</th>
<th>Upper Sq Ft</th>
<th>Basement Sq Ft</th>
<th>Bedrooms (1/2/3/4/5)</th>
<th>Bathrooms (1/2/3)</th>
<th>Garage (1/2/3/4)</th>
<th>Carport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No Residence Information Found.

## SALE INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expiration Date</th>
<th>Sale Date</th>
<th>Sale Price</th>
<th>Grantor</th>
<th>Grantee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/23/2016</td>
<td>$335,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>LOIS HIGGINS FAMILY LLC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## DISCLAIMER

The information is intended to be accurate, any manifest errors are unintentional and subject to correction. Please let us know if you discover any errors and we will correct them. To contact us call either (509) 574-1100 or (800) 572-7354, or email us.

## OVERLAY INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zoning</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Urban Growth Area</th>
<th>Future Landuse Designation</th>
<th>FEMA 100 Year</th>
<th>FIRM Panel Number</th>
<th>Download Map</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-1</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Grandview</td>
<td>UGA (Yakima County Plan 2013)</td>
<td>Not In Floodplain [3]</td>
<td>139770C19250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## LOCATION INFORMATION

### Narrative Description
- Section 10 Township 09 Range 23 Quarter SW: All that portion of the N1/4 of the SW1/4 lying Southwest of the centerline of the State Highway, AND all the portion of the South SW1/4 lying Southwesterly of the centerline of the State Highway, EXCEPT that portion lying Easterly of the drainage ditches Laterly No. 2 right of way.

## DISCLAIMER
CITY OF GRANDVIEW, WASHINGTON

RESOLUTION CERTIFICATION

I, Anita G. Palacios, am appointed by the Mayor of the City of Grandview as the City Clerk. I am the custodian of the City’s records and I am authorized to make certified copies of official records. I do hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the attached Resolution No. 2017-37 authorizing the petition to annex properties known as the Port of Grandview & Lois Higgins Family LLC, that is contiguous to the City of Grandview and providing for transmittal of said petition to the Yakima County Boundary Review Board for a 45-day review prior to taking final action, is a certified copy of an official record maintained by the City of Grandview and is an exact, complete, and unaltered photocopy of such official record.

Dated: October 5, 2017

Anita G. Palacios, MMC
City Clerk
RESOLUTION NO. 2017-37

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF GRANDVIEW, WASHINGTON, AUTHORIZING THE PETITION TO ANNEX PROPERTY KNOWN AS THE PORT OF GRANDVIEW & LOIS HIGGINS FAMILY LLC, THAT IS CONTIGUOUS TO THE CITY OF GRANDVIEW AND PROVIDING FOR TRANSMITTAL OF SAID PETITION TO THE YAKIMA COUNTY BOUNDARY REVIEW BOARD FOR A 45-DAY REVIEW PRIOR TO TAKING FINAL ACTION

WHEREAS, the City of Grandview, Washington received a petition for annexation commonly known as the Port of Grandview & Lois Higgins Family LLC, Annexation of certain real property pursuant to RCW 35A.14.120, a legal description of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A";

WHEREAS, that said petition set forth the fact that the City Council of the City of Grandview required the assumption of City indebtedness by the area requesting to be annexed;

WHEREAS, prior to filing of said petition, the City Council had indicated a tentative approval of said annexation;

WHEREAS, petitioners further understood the zoning of said area proposed for annexation would be M-1 Light Industrial zoning for Parcel No. 230910-33001;

WHEREAS, notices of hearings before the Hearing Examiner and the City Council were published in the manner as provided by law;

WHEREAS, all property within the territory so annexed shall be subject to and is a part of the Urban Growth Area of the City of Grandview as presently adopted or as is hereafter amended;

WHEREAS, the Council of the City of Grandview has determined that the best interests and general welfare of the City would be served by the annexation; and

WHEREAS, prior to the City Council taking final action on this annexation, the "Notice of Intention" is to be completed by an elected official or employee of the governmental jurisdiction that is seeking the boundary change action or the proponent in the case of incorporation or formation and submitted to the Yakima Boundary Review Board for a 45-day review period,

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRANDVIEW, that the Notice of Intention to annex property described on Exhibit "A", be completed by the City Clerk of the City of Grandview and thereafter forwarded to the Yakima Boundary Review Board for a 45-day review period.
PASSED by the CITY COUNCIL and APPROVED by the MAYOR at its regular meeting on September 26, 2017.

MAYOR
[Signature]

ATTEST:
[Signature]

CITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
[Signature]

CITY ATTORNEY

RESOLUTION – PORT & HIGGINS ANNEXATION & REZONE
EXHIBIT "A"

City of Grandview
Port of Grandview/Lois Higgins Family, LLC Annexation
HLA Project#17007
July 17, 2017

Annexation Area

That part of the East half of Section 9, the West half of Section 10, the Northwest quarter of Section 15 and the Northeast quarter of Section 16, Township 9 North, Range 23 East, W.M., described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the Southerly right of way line of Stover Road and the Westerly right of way line of Puterbaugh Road;
Thence East along said Southerly right of way line of Stover Road to the Easterly right of way line of Drainage District No. 9 Lateral 3;
Thence Northeasterly along said Easterly right of way line to the Southwesterly right of way line of Wine Country Road;
Thence Northwesterly along said Southwesterly right of way line to the Northerly extension of the Westerly right of way line of Puterbaugh Road;
Thence South along said Westerly right of way line and its Northerly extension to the Point of Beginning;

Situate in Yakima County, State of Washington.
EXHIBIT E

A copy of the Threshold Determination and completed SEPA checklist pertaining to the proposed BRB action with full explanations. Include the list of persons who were sent the checklist and all written comments from governmental agencies and the general public.

NOTE: *This is NOT to be completed by municipalities for annexation purposes.*
EXHIBIT F

A copy of any Interlocal agreements entered into under RCW 39.34 (Interlocal Cooperation Act) and under RCW 36.115 (Service Agreements) establishing which jurisdictions should provide various local government services and facilities and how those services and facilities will be financed.

NOTE: There are no interlocal agreements related to this proposed annexation.